UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:13-cr-0042-APG-PAL 4 5 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR 6 v. **ACQUITTAL** 7 JOSEPH FELIX, (Dkt. No. 115) 8 Defendant. 9 On October 10, 2014, after the Government closed its evidence on the fifth day of trial, 10 defendant Joseph Felix orally moved for acquittal pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 29(a). (Dkt. #122 at 170.) I took that motion under advisement. (Id. at 178.) Subsequently, the 12 jury convicted Mr. Felix. (*Id.* at 245 – 246.) On October 24, 2014, Mr. Felix filed a motion for 13 judgment of acquittal under Rule 29(c). (Dkt. #115.) After giving careful consideration to the 14 issues raised in both the oral and written motions, I find that sufficient evidence was presented at 15 trial to sustain the conviction. A rational trier of fact could find beyond a reasonable doubt that 16 Mr. Felix committed the crime he is charged with, in light of the evidence presented and the 17 reasonable inferences that may be drawn from that evidence. Jackson v. Virginia, 443 U.S. 307, 18 319 (1979). Therefore, 19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant's oral and written motions for judgment of 20 acquittal (Dkt. #115) are DENIED. 21 Dated: January 28, 2015. 22 ANDREW P. GORDON 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26

27

28